Eurasian Journal of Physics and Functional Materials

2023, 7(1), 38-44

Electron-hole trapping centers in Na₂SO₄ with a transition metal impurity Mn

T.N. Nurakhmetov¹, T.T. Alibay^{*,1}, S. Pazylbek², A.M. Zhunusbekov¹, B.M. Sadykova¹, D.A. Tolekov¹, R.K. Shamieva¹, A.S. Nurpeissov¹

¹L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, Kazakhstan

²Tashenov University, Shymkent, Kazakhstan

E-mail: temirulanalibay@gmail.com

DOI: **10.32523/ejpfm.2023070102** Received: 10.01.2023 - after revision

The Na₂SO₄ samples were obtained by slow evaporation method. The mechanisms of the formation of electron and hole trapping centers are investigated by spectroscopic methods. Intrinsic recombination emission of 2.9–3.1 eV and impurity emission of 1.85 eV are excited at 4.0–4.5 eV. Intrinsic SO₄^{3–} – SO₄⁻ and impurity Mn⁺ – SO₄⁻ trapping centers were revealed. The local levels corresponding between the electron and hole trapping center are 4.0–4.5 eV. The decay of intrinsic and impurity trapping centers was recorded at temperatures of 130–150 K and 280–350 K.

Keywords: electron; hole; recombination emission; intrinsic emission; sulfate; excitation

Introduction

It is known that pure alkali and alkaline earth metal sulfates are not effective thermoluminescent materials. A higher efficiency of TL is achieved by doping such systems with appropriate impurities that act as activators of the starting materials [1]. In paper [2], MgSO₄ doped with Dy and codoped with Mn was studied for its TL efficiency. In MgSO₄ – Dy,Mn, the dosimetric peak at 383°C showed high TL sensitivity, and a linear response to gamma radiation. The

authors of [3] report that the intensity of the emission peaks of two bands near 480 nm and 580 nm in Dy and Mn sharply decreases compared to MgSO₄ doped only with Dy, except for temperatures above $300^{\circ}C$. The authors suggest that the structure of the trapping centers of the MgSO₄ – Dy phosphor changes significantly under the action of the Mn codopant, while no changes are observed in the wavelengths of the emission spectra.

The EPR data show that Mn^{2+} ions replace K^+ in the K₂SO₄ single crystal [4]. It is reported that doping with Mn^{2+} impurity reduces the phase transition temperature in K₂SO₄, which can affect the luminescence characteristics of the single crystal. Eu^{2+} -, Mn^{2+} activated $Ca_9Mg(PO_4)_6F_2$ (CMPF) phosphors with variable radiative properties from blue to yellow were synthesized by the method of high-temperature solid-phase reaction. Energy transfer from Eu²⁺ ions to Mn^{2+} ions in CMPF samples doped with Eu^{2+} , Mn^{2+} , is possible due to the overlap of the emission spectra of Eu^{2+} and the excitation spectra of Mn^{2+} , and a decrease in the fluorescence decay time of the Eu^{2+} ion with an increase in the Mn²⁺ concentration indicates energy transfer, which provides a tunable color emission from blue to yellow by adjusting the concentration of Mn^{2+} . The mechanism of energy transfer between Eu^{2+} and Mn^{2+} ions is confirmed by the electric dipole-quadrupole interaction. In paper [5], the effects of preradiative defects on recombination luminescence in sulfate K_2SO_4 activated Mn^{2+} and Ni²⁺ were studied. It is reported that manganese and nickel impurity ions increase the rate of light sum accumulation under the TSL peak and act as an electron trapping center. Impurity ions Mn²⁺ and Ni²⁺ occupy cation sites in the crystal host lattice with higher coordination by oxygen atoms.

In $K_2SO_4 - Tl^+$, $K_2SO_4 - Cu^+$, $Na_2SO_4 - Mn^{2+}$, $Na_2SO_4 - Dy^{3+}$ crystals, upon irradiation, e^- are trapped by impurities and electronic trapping centers of the type Tl^0 [6], Cu^0 [7], Mn^+ [8] and Dy^{2+} [9] are formed. The recombination emission bands of these impurity trapping centers are located under the conduction band next to the recombination emission of a pure matrix of 3.0–3.1 eV in the spectral range of 2.9–3.0 eV. In this case, the impurity emission centers are located in different spectral intervals than the impurity trapping centers.

The objective of this work is to study the mechanisms of the formation of electron and hole trapping centers $Mn^+ - SO_4^-$ and their sensitizing role in the transfer of energy of electron-hole pairs to emitters. The magnitude of the absorbed dose is determined by the intensity of the emission of the impurity.

The mechanisms of the formation and decay of electron-hole pairs in undoped sulfates of alkali and alkaline earth metals are described in detail in [10–15]. In this work, the mechanisms of formation of electron-hole pairs in Na_2SO_4 doped with the transition metal Mn are investigated.

Materials and methods

 $Na_2SO_4 - Mn^{2+}$ polycrystals were obtained by slow evaporation method. As a raw materials were used: Na_2SO_4 and $MnSO_4 * H_2O$ powders. Na_2SO_4 powder (purity 99 % Sigma Aldrich, CAS 7757-82-6) is dissolved in a stoichiometric

volume in distilled and deionized water. $MnSO_4 * H_2O$ dissolved in distilled and deionized water is added to the prepared solution. The optimal impurity concentration was 1 mol%. After a couple of days, small crystal particles form from the solution. Fine crystal particles are crushed in a mortar and pressed in a form of tablets on copper substrate.

Spectrometric methods were used to study the emission and excitation spectra of $Na_2SO_4 - Mn^{2+}$. The obtained results were measured on a Solar CM 2203 spectrofluorometer. The emission spectra were measured in the spectral range 1.5–6.2 eV. Cooling to 80 K was carried out using a cryostat in vacuum.

Results and discussion

Figure 1 shows the emission spectrum of Na₂SO₄ – Mn upon excitation by UV photons with an energy of 6.2 eV. It can be seen that long-wavelength bands of recombination emission appear at 2.9–3.1 eV and impurity emission band at 1.8 eV. At low temperatures, the intensity of the emission bands almost doubles (Curve 2). This is due to an increase in the number of electron-hole recombination at trapping centers. During irradiation, the SO₄^{2–} anionic complex captures an electron, and the hole is localized as the SO₄^{2–} radical. As a result, electronic trapping centers are created by the reaction SO₄^{2–} + e[–] \rightarrow SO₄^{3–}. The emission peak at 2.9 eV is attributed to intrinsic electronic trapping centers SO₄^{3–} – SO₄^{2–}.



Figure 1. Emission spectrum of $Na_2SO_4 - Mn$ at 6.2 eV excitation: 1) at 300 K; 2) at 80 K.

To clarify the position of the emission spectrum peaks, the excitation spectra were studied (Figure 2). It can be seen that $Na_2SO_4 - Mn$ is excited in the regions of 4.0–4.5 eV and 5.5–6.2 eV.

Figure 3 shows the excitation spectrum for the Mn^{2+} impurity emission band. It can be seen that $Na_2SO_4 - Mn$ is excited in the spectral range from 2.5 eV to



Figure 2. Excitation spectrum of $Na_2SO_4 - Mn$ for the 3.1 eV emission band: 1) at 300 K; 2) at 80 K.

5.5 eV. The spectral interval in which the impurity is excited covers the region of excitation of the main matrix.



Figure 3. Excitation spectrum of Li_2SO_4 for the 3.1 eV band: 1) crystal; 2) powder with 99.99% purity; 3) for emission $3.7 \div 3.8$ eV at 15 K; 4) for emission $3.7 \div 3.8$ eV at 300 K.

Impurity emission centers are excited when the energy of electron-hole trapping centers is transferred to Mn^{2+} impurities. An electron is trapped by an impurity and an impurity trapping center $Mn^+ - SO_4^-$ is formed. An impurity trapping center is formed by the reaction: $Mn^{2+} + e^- \rightarrow Mn^+$. In order to study the impurity trapping center, the phosphor was excited with an energy from 3.65

eV to 2.4 eV, corresponding to the main excitation peaks, where free electron-hole pairs are created (Figure 4). Emission at 1.85 eV is well distinguished, which characterizes the emission of impurities.



Figure 4. Emission spectrum of Na₂SO₄ – Mn upon excitation: 1) upon excitation 6.65 eV at 300 K; 2) upon excitation 6.65 eV at 80 K; 3) upon excitation 3.1 eV at 300 K; 4) upon excitation 3.1 eV at 80 K; 5) upon excitation 2.43 eV at 300 K; 6) upon excitation 2.43 eV at 80 K.

Figure 5 shows the temperature dependence of the emission spectra upon excitation by UV photons with an energy of 6.2 eV. The temperature dependence of the emission was recorded in the temperature range from 77 to 450 K. The inset shows the temperature dependence of the emission spectrum for an impurity at 1.82 eV. It can be seen that impurity trapping centers decompose in two temperature ranges 130–150 K and 280–350 K. This is because of the fact that the hole is localized at different local distances from the top of the valet zone [16]. Therefore, for the decay of intrinsic and impurity electronic trapping centers located in different crystallographic directions, different thermal impact energies will be required. When the impurity trapping center Mn⁺ – SO₄⁻ decays, the Mn²⁺ impurity is restored. A similar process occurs for other emission bands.

Conclusion

Intrinsic recombination emission at 2.9–3.1 eV and impurity emission at 1.85 are excited in the same energy intervals. Intrinsic and impurity trapping centers are located close to each other. The decay of intrinsic and impurity trapping centers occurs at temperatures of 130–150 K and 280–350 K. Depending on the energy distance of the hole center from the top of the valence band, different emission bands are observed.



Figure 5. Temperature dependence of the emission bands when excited by 6.2 eV: 1) for the 4.0 eV band; 2) for the 3.65 eV band; 3) for the 3.45 eV band; 4) for the 2.95 eV band; 5) for the 2.43 eV band.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, grants IRN AP09259303.

References

[1] J. Manam, S. Das, Solid state sciences **12**(8) (2010) 1435–1444. [CrossRef] [2] L. Daling et al., Radiation measurements **30**(1) (1999) 59–63. [*CrossRef*] [3] Z. Chunxiang et al., Radiation measurements 32(2) (2000) 123–128. [CrossRef] [4] B.V.R. Chowdari, P. Venkateswarlu, J. Chem. Phys. 48 (1968) 318–322. [CrossRef] [5] T. Koketai et al., Physics Procedia 76 (2015) 97–100. [CrossRef] [6] V.S. Osminin, V.G. Plekhanov, N.I. Silkin, Journal of Applied Spectroscopy 21 (1974) 908–910. [CrossRef] [7] R. Majgier, G. Okada, Journal of Luminescence 257 (2023) 119702. [CrossRef] [8] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Proceedings of 8th International Congress on Energy Fluxes and Radiation Effects / Edited by Dr. Dmitry Sorokin and Anton Grishkov (Tomsk: TPU Publishing House, 2022) 1139. [9] S.T. Taide, N.B. Ingale, S.K. Omanwar, Appl Phys 7(3) (2015) 27–32. [Google Scholar] [10] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Optik 242 (2021) 167081. [CrossRef] [11] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Eurasian Journal of Physics and Functional Materials 5(3) (2021) 235–241. [CrossRef] [12] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Eurasian Journal of Physics and Functional Materials 5(2) (2021) 140–147. [CrossRef] [13] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Eurasian Journal of Physics and Functional Materials 5(3) (2021) 200–208. [CrossRef] [14] T.N. Nurakhmetov et al., Eurasian journal of physics and functional materials 5(1) (2021) 24–30.[CrossRef]

43

[15] B.N. Yussupbekova et al., Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms **481** (2020) 19–23. [*CrossRef*]

[16] S.R. Nair et al., Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **9** (1997) 8307. [*CrossRef*]